

Release notes for ENDF/B Development n-097\_Bk\_245  
evaluation

**ENDF**  
**B-VII**.dev

April 26, 2017

- fudge-4.0 Warnings:

1. Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections  
*crossSectionSum label 0: total (Error # 0): CS Sum.*

WARNING: Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections! Max diff: 0.41%

2. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 1 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [nubar]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

3. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 2 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [nubar]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (2.638420e-09) is too small

4. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 3 (total): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

5. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 3 (total): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

6. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 4 (n + Bk245): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

7. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 4 (n + Bk245): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

8. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 8 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission]): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

9. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 8 ( $n[multiplicity:energyDependent, emissionMode:prompt] + n[emissionMode:1 delayed] + gamma [total fission]$ ): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

10. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 9 ( $n + (Bk245-e1 \rightarrow Bk245 + gamma)$ ): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (2.847091e-09) is too small

11. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 10 ( $n + (Bk245-e2 \rightarrow Bk245 + gamma)$ ): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (3.135400e-10) is too small

12. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 11 ( $n + (Bk245-e3 \rightarrow Bk245 + gamma)$ ): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (2.225905e-09) is too small

13. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 12 ( $n + (Bk245-e4 \rightarrow Bk245 + gamma)$ ): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (5.346632e-10) is too small

14. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 13 ( $n + (Bk245-e5 \rightarrow Bk245 + gamma)$ ): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (2.655691e-09) is too small

15. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 14 ( $n + (Bk245-c \rightarrow Bk245 + gamma)$ ): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

16. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 15 ( $Bk246 + gamma$ ): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

17. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 15 (Bk246 + gamma): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

18. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 16 (n + Bk245 [angular distribution]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 1): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

19. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 17 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [spectrum]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

20. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 18 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [spectrum]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

21. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 19 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [spectrum]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

22. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 20 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [spectrum]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

- fudge-4.0 Errors:

1. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 6: n + (Bk245\_c -> Bk245 + gamma) / Product: Bk245\_c / Decay product: gamma\_a / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (140000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (101944.0 -> 20000000.0)

2. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 6:  $n + (Bk245\_c \rightarrow Bk245 + \gamma)$  / Product: Bk245\_c / Distribution: / uncorrelated - angular - isotropic: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (140000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (101944.0 -> 20000000.0)  
 WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (170000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (101944.0 -> 20000000.0)  
 WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (200000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (101944.0 -> 20000000.0)  
 WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (250000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (101944.0 -> 20000000.0)  
 ... plus 1 more instances of this message

3. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 6:  $n + (Bk245\_c \rightarrow Bk245 + \gamma)$  / Product: Bk245\_c / Decay product: gamma\_b / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (170000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (101944.0 -> 20000000.0)

4. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 6:  $n + (Bk245\_c \rightarrow Bk245 + \gamma)$  / Product: Bk245\_c / Decay product: gamma\_c / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (200000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (101944.0 -> 20000000.0)

5. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 6:  $n + (Bk245\_c \rightarrow Bk245 + \gamma)$  / Product: Bk245\_c / Decay product: gamma\_d / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (250000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (101944.0 -> 20000000.0)

6. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 6:  $n + (Bk245\_c \rightarrow Bk245 + \gamma)$  / Product: Bk245\_c / Decay product: gamma\_e / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (250000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (101944.0 -> 20000000.0)

7. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 7:  $n[multiplicity: '2'] + Bk244 + \gamma$  (Error # 0): Q mismatch*

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -7087828.217346191 eV vs -6971370. eV!

8. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 8:  $n[multiplicity: '3'] + Bk243 + \gamma$  (Error # 0): Q mismatch*

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -13134820.92092896 eV vs -1.30184e7 eV!

9. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 8:  $n[multiplicity: '3'] + Bk243 + \gamma$  / Product: gamma\_a / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (13500000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (13071900.0 -> 20000000.0)

10. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 8:  $n[multiplicity: '3'] + Bk243 + \gamma$  / Product: gamma\_a / Distribution: / uncorrelated - angular - isotropic: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (13500000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (13071900.0 -> 20000000.0)

11. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 10: Bk246 + gamma (Error # 0): Q mismatch*  
  
WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 5801868.281005859 eV vs 5918330. eV!
12. Multiplicity does not match sum of linked product multiplicities!  
*multiplicitySum label 8: n + (Bk245\_c ->Bk245 + gamma) total gamma multiplicity (Error # 0): summedMultiplicityMismatch*  
  
WARNING: Multiplicity does not match sum of linked product multiplicities! Max diff: 26.96%
13. Multiplicity does not match sum of linked product multiplicities!  
*multiplicitySum label 9: n[multiplicity:'3'] + Bk243 + gamma total gamma multiplicity (Error # 0): summedMultiplicityMismatch*  
  
WARNING: Multiplicity does not match sum of linked product multiplicities! Max diff: 100.00%
14. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*fissionComponent label 0: /reactionSuite/fissionComponents/fissionComponent[@label='0'] (Error # 0): Q mismatch*  
  
WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 229217305868.6241 eV vs 2.151617e8 eV!
15. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*fissionComponent label 1: /reactionSuite/fissionComponents/fissionComponent[@label='1'] (Error # 0): Q mismatch*  
  
WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 229217305868.6241 eV vs 2.151617e8 eV!
16. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*fissionComponent label 2: /reactionSuite/fissionComponents/fissionComponent[@label='2'] (Error # 0): Q mismatch*  
  
WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 229217305868.6241 eV vs 2.151617e8 eV!
17. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*fissionComponent label 3: /reactionSuite/fissionComponents/fissionComponent[@label='3'] (Error # 0): Q mismatch*  
  
WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 229217305868.6241 eV vs 2.151617e8 eV!
18. A covariance matrix was not positive semi-definite, so it has negative eigenvalues.  
*Section 16 (n + Bk245 [angular distribution]): / Form 'eval': / LegendreLValue L=1 vs 1 (Error # 0): Bad evs*  
  
WARNING: 10 negative eigenvalues! Worst case = -3.632988e-04

- njoy2012 Warnings:

1. Evaluation has no resonance parameters given  
*unresr...calculation of unresolved resonance cross sections (0): No RR*

```
---message from unresr---mat 9740 has no resonance parameters
copy as is to nout
```

2. In some evaluations, the partial fission reactions MT=19, 20, 21, and 38 are given in File 3, but no corresponding distributions are given. In these cases, it is assumed that MT=18 should be used for the fission neutron distributions.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (0): HEATR/hinit (3)*  

```

---message from hinit---mt19 has no spectrum
                        mt18 spectrum will be used.

```
3. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (1): HEATR/hinit (4)*  

```

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 16 does not give recoil za= 97244
                        one-particle recoil approx. used.

```
4. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (2): HEATR/hinit (4)*  

```

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 17 does not give recoil za= 97243
                        one-particle recoil approx. used.

```
5. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (3): HEATR/hinit (4)*  

```

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 51 does not give recoil za= 97245
                        one-particle recoil approx. used.

```
6. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (4): HEATR/hinit (4)*  

```

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 52 does not give recoil za= 97245
                        one-particle recoil approx. used.

```
7. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (5): HEATR/hinit (4)*  

```

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 53 does not give recoil za= 97245
                        one-particle recoil approx. used.

```
8. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (6): HEATR/hinit (4)*  

```

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 54 does not give recoil za= 97245
                        one-particle recoil approx. used.

```
9. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (7): HEATR/hinit (4)*  

```

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 55 does not give recoil za= 97245
                        one-particle recoil approx. used.

```
10. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (8): HEATR/hinit (4)*  

```

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 91 does not give recoil za= 97245
                        one-particle recoil approx. used.

```

11. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (9): HEATR/hinit (4)*

```
---message from hinit---mf6, mt102 does not give recoil za= 97246  
photon momentum recoil used.
```

12. There is a problem with the fission energy release.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (24): HEATR/nheat (3)*

```
---message from nheat---changed q from 2.151617E+08 to 2.024046E+08  
for mt 18
```

13. Evaluation has no resonance parameters given  
*purrr...probabalistic unresolved calculation (0): No RR*

```
---message from purrr---mat 9740 has no resonance parameters  
copy as is to nout
```